


## Audit Committee Update

Year ended 31 March 2015

December 2014

Peter Barber Engagement Lead T 0117 305 7897 E peter.a.barber@uk.gt.com

Peter Smith Audit Manager T 0117 305 7832 E peter.w.smith@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

.

#### Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at 15 December 2014	5
Emerging issues and developments	
Accounting and audit issues	7
Grant Thornton	8

#### Introduction

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector (http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/). Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Working in tandem, local government governance review 2014, our third annual review, assessing local authority governance, highlighting areas for improvement and posing questions to help assess the strength of current arrangements
- 2016 tipping point? Challenging the current, summary findings from our third year of financial health checks of English local authorities
- Local Government Pension Schemes Governance Review, a review of current practice, best case examples and useful questions to assess governance strengths
- Responding to the challenge Alternative Delivery Models in Local Government

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Peter Barber Engagement Lead T +44 (0)1173 057 897 M +44 (0) 7880 456122 <u>peter.a.barber@uk.gt.com</u> Peter Smith Audit Manager T +44 (0)1173 057 832 M +44 (0) 7880 456140 <u>peter.w.smith@uk.gt.com</u>

## Progress at 15 December 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<b>2014-15 Accounts Audit Plan</b> We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2014-15 financial statements.	March 2015	No	The plan will be prepared following the completion of the planning element of our interim audit work
<ul> <li>Interim accounts audit</li> <li>Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</li> <li>updating our review of the Council control environment</li> <li>updating our understanding of financial systems</li> <li>review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems</li> <li>early work on emerging accounting issues</li> <li>early substantive testing</li> <li>proposed Value for Money conclusion.</li> </ul>	December 2014 to March 2014	No	We commenced our on initial site visit w/c 1 December 2014 and expect to be back on site to complete the interim visit early in January 2015.
<ul> <li>2014-15 final accounts audit</li> <li>Including:</li> <li>audit of the 2014-15 financial statements</li> <li>proposed opinion on the Council's accounts</li> <li>proposed Value for Money conclusion.</li> </ul>	June 2015 to September 2015	No	Exact resource allocation not yet agreed

## Progress at 15 December 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<ul> <li>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</li> <li>The scope of our work to inform the 2014/15 VfM conclusion comprises: <ul> <li>a initial risk assessment;</li> <li>a detailed review of arrangements against the criteria;</li> <li>bringing forward knowledge form previous auditors;</li> <li>reviewing key documents; and</li> <li>discussion with officers.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	January 2015 to September 2015	N/A	This work has not yet commenced.
Other areas of work None	N/A		
Other activity undertaken Cheltenham's Museum and Art Gallery budget overspend	December 2015	No	Our Forensics team are undertaking this work outside of our Code responsibilities and independently of the Audit team

# Exploring finance and policy futures for English local government as a starting point for discussion

#### **Grant Thornton**

Our national report is available at: <u>http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/2020-Vision-Exploring-finance-and-policy-futures-for-English-local-government-as-a-starting-point-for-discussion/</u>

In a time of unprecedented challenge for English local government, how can the sector develop towards 2020 if it is to have a sustainable future? Our latest report provides a thorough analysis of the current political and economic context, explores a range of potential policies and outcomes, and suggests several scenarios to facilitate an open debate on the future for the sector.

Produced in collaboration with the University of Birmingham's Institute for Local Government Studies (INLOGOV), our report suggests that fundamental changes to local government are both operationally necessary and constitutionally inevitable, for the sector to remain relevant by 2020. The report offers a thorough analysis of the current political and economic context and explores a range of potential future policies and outcomes that English local government will need to adopt and strive towards as they seek to adapt and overcome these challenges.

Placed in the context of enhanced devolution, following the Scottish independence referendum, 2020 Vision maintains a wary eye fixed on the 2015/16 Spending Round and looks ahead to the life time of the next government. It highlights that the economic and financial situation remains increasingly untenable, with an expanding North/South divide arising from the pattern of funding reductions and economic growth.

English local authorities continue to face unprecedented challenges, relating to the pressures of austerity and central government funding reductions, and demographic and technological change. Our report highlights the vital role of a successful local government sector and encourages it to think hard about how it will cope in the future.

Informed by the views of a broad range of local authority leaders, chief executives and other sector stakeholders, the report offers a set of six forward-looking scenarios\* in which councils could be operating within by 2020. Though not mutually exclusive, we suggest that key stakeholders need to take urgent action to avoid a potential slow and painful demise for some councils by 2020.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

## Where Growth Happens

#### **Grant Thornton**

Our national report 'Where Growth Happens' is available at: <u>http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Where-growth-happens-The-high-growth-index-of-places/</u>

As the UK emerges from recession, increasing attention is being given, both nationally and locally, as to how to accelerate economic sector growth. Our report presents the findings of research undertaken by our Place Analytics team on the dynamics of local growth. It will give FDs and CEOs of local authorities and LEPs:

- an insight into the geographic areas of high growth and dynamic growth (ie the quality of growth)
- an understanding of the characteristics of both growing and dynamic places to help frame policy and sustain future growth
- an understanding of growth corridors and their implications, not only for UK policy makers, but also for those locally sitting within and outside the corridors
- an insight into the views of different leaders charged with making growth happen in their locality.

The report provides a ranking of English cities according to their economic growth over an eight year period (2004 – 2012). Outside of London – which maintains eight of the top 10 best performing districts overall – it places Manchester, Birmingham and Brighton and Hove in the top three, as measured by economic, demographic and place (dwelling stock and commercial floor space) growth.

The analysis also assess the quality of local growth - or 'dynamism' - to identify areas with a vibrant and dynamic economy capable of supporting future expansion, based on five key drivers. London again tops the ranking, with nine out of the top 10 dynamic growth areas. Outside the capital, Cambridge, Reading and Manchester top the list of future sustainable growth.

Based on this analysis of past progress and future prospects, our report reveals a number of 'growth corridors' – functional and large scale local economic areas in England – which are playing a significant role in the country's overall growth levels. Though predominantly stemming from London, the intra-city growth corridors include a number of other large cities at their core, creating a network of key strategic linkages between high growth and dynamic areas.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

## Alternative Delivery Models – are you making the most of them?

#### **Grant Thornton**

#### Alternative delivery models in local government

This report: <u>http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Responding-to-the-challenge-alternative-delivery-models-in-local-government/</u> discusses the main alternative delivery models available to local government. These are based on our recent client survey and work with local government clients. It aims to assist others as they develop their options and implement innovation strategies.

Local government has increased the variety and number of alternative delivery models it uses in recent years including contracts and partnerships with other public bodies and private sector organisations, as well as developing new public sector and non-public sector entities. With financial austerity set to continue, it is important that local authorities continue innovating, if they are to remain financially resilient and commission better quality services at reduced cost.

This report is based on a brief client survey and work with local authority clients and:

- · Outlines the main alternative delivery models available to local authorities
- · Aims to assist other authorities as they develop their options and implement innovation strategies
- Considers aspects of risk.

Challenge question

- Our report includes a number of case studies summarising how public services are being delivered through alternative service models. Has the Authority reviewed these case studies and assessed whether there are similar opportunities available to it?
- Our report includes three short checklists on supporting innovation in service delivery, setting up a company and questions that members should ask officers when considering the development of a new delivery model. Are the checklists being considered as part of the development of the Authority's commissioning strategy?

### Anti - fraud and corruption update

#### **Grant Thornton**

Key current issues include:

**Single Fraud Investigation Service (SFIS)** - The SFIS will bring together all investigative capacity in relation to benefits and tax credits under the control of the Department of Work and Pensions. However a number of local authorities have expressed concern that such a transfer will cause them to lose the capacity to readily investigate other issues such as employee fraud and corruption allegations.

**Corruption risk** - In 2013 Transparency International (TI), the world's leading non-governmental anti-corruption organisation, published a report on corruption in UK Local Government. It identified twelve key risk areas covering public procurement, control over outsourced services, personnel transferring between local authorities and companies bidding to provide services, planning issues, collusion in housing fraud and manipulation of electoral registration. TI expressed concern that audit committees were unable to fulfil the function of reducing risks in many authorities.

**Non-benefits fraud** - There are striking differences between the identification of benefit and non-benefit fraud within local government. The Audit Commission has reported that 79 district councils did not detect a single non-benefit fraud whereas only 9 councils among all London boroughs, metropolitan districts and unitary authorities reported non-benefit frauds. Procurement fraud in particular is consistently estimated as accounting for the largest losses to fraud within local government. In its most recent Protecting the Public Purse publication the Audit Commission estimated annual losses at £876 million, representing 1% of total procurement spend.

**National Fraud Initiative** - On 12 June 2014 the Audit Commission released its national report, The National Fraud Initiative (NFI): National Report (June 2014) highlighting that its data matching exercise has identified a further £229 million of fraud, overpayment or error in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, since it last reported in May 2012. The Chairman of the Audit Commission, Jeremy Newman said;

"We publish a report from the NFI every two years and continue to produce great results. The national figure for identified fraud, error and overpayment, that would otherwise be lost to the taxpaying public, is down by £46 million compared to the previous report although the number of cases has increased by nearly 20 per cent. This is great news if, as we believe, it is due to improving detection rates. However, we cannot be complacent. The more participants in the exercise, the richer the data for everyone involved and the harder it is for fraudsters to hide from detection".

The Audit Commission's National Fraud Initiative will move to the Cabinet Office in April 2015 to secure the continuation of the counter fraud data matching initiative which over its 18 year history has identified over £1.17 billion in fraud, error and overpayment.



© 2014 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk